

VU Research Portal

Semantic Image and Video Indexing in Broad Domains, editorial special issue

Worring, M.; Schreiber, A.T.

published in

IEEE Transactions on Multimedia
2007

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.1109/TMM.2007.898913](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMM.2007.898913)

document version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Worring, M., & Schreiber, A. T. (2007). Semantic Image and Video Indexing in Broad Domains, editorial special issue. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 909-911. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMM.2007.898913>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

This is a postprint of

Semantic Image and Video Indexing in Broad Domains, editorial special issue

Worring, M., Schreiber, A.T.

IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, 9(5), 909-911

Published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMM.2007.898913>

Link VU-DARE: <http://hdl.handle.net/1871/24474>

(Article begins on next page)

Semantic Image and Video Indexing in Broad Domains

Marcel Worring, *Member, IEEE* and Guus Schreiber

I. INTRODUCTION

Image and video collections are abundant, but where for document retrieval standard products are widely available, access to image and video collections is still cumbersome. The reason is the semantic gap between what we can derive automatically from the visual data and the semantic interpretation a user has of the same data. To bring semantic access, bridges across the semantic gap have to be developed.

The semantic gap has dictated that solutions to image and video indexing could only be applied in narrow domains using specific concept detectors (e.g. "sunset"). This leads to lexica of at most 10-20 concepts. A number of factors gave the impetus to go beyond such small lexica. New machine learning techniques, such as one-class classifiers, have been developed which deal with the special characteristics of multimedia data with sparse and heterogeneous examples. The use of multi-modal indexing where visual information is effectively combined with textual information can employ the strength of each modality, rather than relying on a single modality. Important factors are also the availability of large annotated information sources e.g. the LSCOM and MediaMill video annotations of news data or the Corel collections of various scenes and object, and the computational resources to use them. These trends have paved the way to increase lexicon size by orders of magnitude (now 100, in a few years 1,000). This brings it within reach of research in ontology engineering i.e. creating and maintaining large typically 10,000+ structured sets of shared concepts. The research in data-driven image and video indexing and top-down ontology engineering has traditionally been within different communities. When recent advances in both fields are combined, we are reaching the point where the semantic gap can be bridged for many concepts.

To bring semantics to the user in broad domains both the indexing and retrieval step have to be reconsidered. This special issue brings together a number of high-quality papers which address both steps and its relation to ontologies. Jointly they set the scene for a big leap over the semantic gap in broad domains such as film, news, sports and personal albums.

II. IMAGE AND VIDEO INDEXING

Image and video indexing is based on a lexicon of detectors where each detector gives a measure for the presence of the concept in the visual data. The basis for recognition are features extracted from the visual data. However, supervised

M. Worring is with the University of Amsterdam. G. Schreiber is with the Free University Amsterdam. This work is partly sponsored by the BSK MultimediaN project.




Fig. 1. An overview of the main focus of the different papers in this special issue. The figures gives a blueprint for a future architecture of a system which will bridge the semantic gap.

recognition methods require large sets of examples annotated by experts for every concept in the lexicon. When the domain is broad and many concepts need to be annotated one has to rely on collaborative annotation to make it feasible. However, when several people are annotating visual data they might differ in their interpretation leading to inconsistent annotations. Volkmer [6] presents a method where the annotations are analyzed to filter out inconsistent annotations resulting in annotated datasets of higher quality.

The statistics of the features extracted from the annotated data pose an implicit structure upon the different concepts. The paper by Koskela [3] considers several measures of similarity to compare different pictures or shots and provides methods to learn and analyze this implicit structure. This learned structure is only one structure to employ. Ontology engineering takes

a top-down approach to the problem and defines structures in a declarative manner. General ontologies such as Wordnet can yield the relations required for broad domains. To use this information the concepts in the detector lexicon have to be linked to the ontology as done by Snoek [5].

When a learned or declarative structure is added to the lexicon we can use the hierarchical relations between the concepts to improve the detection process. Fan [1] defines a hierarchical learning method which explicitly takes the structure into account.

Learning a large lexicon of concepts is a computation-intensive process. But as the process is off-line and concepts can be learned in parallel, the computational resources in principle are there to get to structured lexicons of 10000+ concepts, connected to an ontology such as Wordnet. Current bottleneck is the availability of a sufficient number of annotated examples.

III. IMAGE AND VIDEO RETRIEVAL

In retrieval the user approaches the visual archives with some information need and expects results which satisfy this need. In early systems “query by visual example” has been a popular query mode. However, as this is a low-level specification the result is often poor. With a large detector-based lexicon alternative methods of querying the archive emerge. Rasiwasia [4] presents a new query paradigm: “query by semantic example”. To this end, first detectors are used to analyze semantic characteristics of the visual data and subsequently the results are compared to the stored data.

When visual examples are not available, the most appropriate query mode is just expressing the information need as a textual expression. The task for the system is then to select the most appropriate set of detectors from the lexicon. Snoek compares a number of different strategies based on visual examples, the description of a concept, and the structure of Wordnet using a 491 concept-detector lexicon.

At this point the latter lexicon of learned detectors is the largest one available. Hauptmann [2] explores what lies beyond and uses a lexicon of perfect oracle detectors with noise added to arrive at the conclusion that for the broad domain of news approximately 5,000 concepts with an average precision of 0.1 would be sufficient for practical use.

Both Snoek and Hauptmann consider the use of detector combinations in retrieval the important step forward but resorted to oracle detector combinations to analyze its potential.

IV. CONCLUSION

Large-scale processing is becoming possible; the computational resources are there. The papers in this special issue show that we are now making concrete steps towards general data-driven methods that can be linked to a significant number of meaningful concepts.

Overviewing this arena, we see the following three issues as the major research challenges for the coming years. Firstly, we need to solve the problem of large-scale annotation. One option is to find ways to use large existing web collections for this purpose. Secondly, methods have to be developed for

detector combination. Two of the papers show that oracle-based detector combination can improve retrieval. Thirdly, advances in quality improvement and scalability are still needed. One paper posed 5,000 concept detectors with 10% Mean Average Precision as target. Time will have to show whether this is the right goal. In fact, if detector combination becomes possible (Hauptmann’s estimate is based on isolated detectors) and more elaborate links between the detector lexica and ontologies are established and used in learning, the target might actually be lower.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the editor-in-chief Hong-Jiang Zhang for allowing us to make this exciting special issue. Thanks also to associate editor Mohan Kankanhalli for handling the paper of which we are co-authors. Last but not least, thanks to the reviewers for their extensive efforts in providing comments and suggestions for the submitted papers.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Fan, H. Luo, Y. Gao, and R. Jain. Incorporating concept ontology to boost hierarchical classifier training for automatic multi-level annotation. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 2007.
- [2] A. Hauptmann, R. Yan, W-H. Lin, M. Christel, and H. Wactlar. Can high-level concepts fill the semantic gap in video retrieval? A case study with broadcast retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 2007.
- [3] M. Koskela, A.F. Smeaton, and J. Laaksonen. Measuring concept similarities in multimedia ontologies: analysis and evaluations. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 2007.
- [4] N. Rasiwasia, P.J. Moreno, and N. Vasconcelos. Bridging the gap: query by semantic example. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 2007.
- [5] C.G.M. Snoek, B. Huurnink, L. Hollink, M. de Rijke, G. Schreiber, and M. Worrington. Adding semantics to detectors for video retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 2007.
- [6] T. Volkmer, J.A. Thom, and S.M.M. Tahaghoghi. Modelling human judgement of digital imagery for multimedia retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 9(5), 2007.

V. BIOGRAPHIES

PLACE
PHOTO
HERE

Marcel Worrington is an associate professor in computer science at the University of Amsterdam. He is leading the MediaMill team, which has participated in all TRECVID editions and has published over 100 scientific publications in journals and proceedings. He is an associate editor of *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* and the chair of the IAPR TC12 on Multimedia and Visual Collections.

PLACE
PHOTO
HERE

Guus Schreiber is a professor of Intelligent Information Systems at the Free University Amsterdam. He has published some 140 articles and books. In 2000 he published with MIT Press a textbook on knowledge engineering and knowledge management, based on the CommonKADS methodology. He is co-chairing the W3C Semantic Web Deployment Working Group and is the former co-chair of the Web Ontology and the Semantic Web Best Practices groups. He is also Scientific Director of the IST Network of Excellence “Knowledge Web”.